	Case 2:23-cv-00386-CSK Document	40 Filed 12/30/24 Page 1 of 3
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID FUENTES,	No. 2:23-0386 CSK P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	MR. MANNING, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. On December 23, 2024, defendants filed a	
18	motion for a forty-five day extension of time to file their motion for summary judgment. (ECF	
19	No. 39.) This is defendants' third motion to modify the Discovery and Scheduling Order, but	
20	their first motion to extend the pretrial motions deadline and is based on plaintiff's alleged refusal	
21	to comply with his discovery obligations. As discussed below, defendants' motion to modify is	
22	granted. However, the pretrial motions deadline is vacated rather than extended pending	
23	resolution of defendants' motion to compel discovery.	
24	I. BACKGROUND	
25	This action proceeds on plaintiff's second amended complaint against defendants Mr.	
26	Manning and Ms. Kissel for their alleged failure to protect plaintiff from harm in violation of the	
27	Eighth Amendment. (ECF No. 15.)	
28	On June 11, 2024, the Court issued the Discovery and Scheduling Order, setting the	
	1	

### 

discovery deadline for October 11, 2024, and the pretrial motions deadline for January 13, 2025. (ECF No. 27.) On August 14, 2024, the Court granted defendants' first request for extension of time to modify the scheduling order and extended the deadline to serve written discovery requests to August 26, 2024, and to file any motions to compel to October 25, 2024. (ECF No. 29.)

On October 2, 2024, the Court granted defendants' second request to modify the scheduling order and extended the discovery deadline to December 10, 2024, for the limited purpose of deposing plaintiff and other nonparties and ordered that any motions necessary to compel discovery related to depositions be filed on or before that date. (ECF No. 32.)

On October 24, 2024, defendants filed a motion to compel discovery. (ECF No. 33.) On October 29, 2024, defendants filed a status update regarding their motion to compel discovery. (ECF No. 35.) Plaintiff did not file an opposition, and on November 20, 2024, the Court ordered plaintiff to file an opposition or statement of no opposition within 21 days. (ECF No. 37.) Plaintiff was cautioned that he is required to cooperate in discovery. (Id. at 2.)

Plaintiff did not file an opposition or statement of no opposition, but on December 19, 2024, defendants filed a second status update on their motion to compel discovery after receiving some discovery responses. (ECF No. 38.) On December 23, 2024, defendants filed a motion for a forty-five day extension of time to file their motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 39.)

#### II. GOVERNING STANDARDS

"The district court is given broad discretion in supervising the pretrial phase of litigation."

Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 607 (9th Cir. 1992) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted). Rule 16(b) provides that "[a] schedule may be modified only for good cause and with the judge's consent." Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). "The schedule may be modified 'if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension."

Zivkovic v. Southern California Edison Co., 302 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting Johnson, 975 F.2d at 607).

#### III. DISCUSSION

Defendants have shown good cause to extend the pretrial motions deadline. Defendants have been diligent in conducting discovery, including deposing plaintiff and an incarcerated

## Case 2:23-cv-00386-CSK Document 40 Filed 12/30/24 Page 3 of 3

nonparty witness. Although plaintiff has filed nothing with the Court in response to defendants' motion to compel discovery, plaintiff has submitted some discovery responses to defendants since the motion to compel discovery was filed. In light of the pendency of the motion to compel, the pretrial motions deadline is vacated rather than extended and will be reset following resolution of defendants' motion to compel discovery responses.

# Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Defendants' motion to modify the Discovery and Scheduling Order (ECF No. 39) is granted.
- 2. The pretrial motions deadlines set in the June 11, 2024 order (ECF No. 27) is vacated pending further order of the Court.

Dated: December 26, 2024

CHI SOO KIM

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

/1/fuen0386.16b3